Author: Siddhartha Wardhan **Affiliation:** The Independent Observer **Date:** 2025 Edition **License:** CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 International

Global Hypocrisy: How the Right Built the Global System It Now Condemns

The Independent Observer Series – Volume II

Abstract

This paper traces the ***fifty-year evolution of neoliberal globalization,*** from **Nixon's 1971 abandonment of the gold standard** to the ***populist anti-globalism*** of the 2020s. It argues that the modern Right's criticism of **"globalists"** conceals the fact that conservative fiscal and foreign policy decisions—such as **Nixon's opening to China, Reagan and Thatcher's deregulation**, and Clinton's finalization of the system—created the very global order that is now being criticized. Using quantitative data and industry case studies, the analysis shows how bipartisan policies exported jobs, imported debt, and turned democracy into a platform for economic denial. The conclusion calls for transparent globalization: open records, fair taxes, and public literacy that reveal the system's contradictions.

Keywords: Globalization • Neoliberalism • De-industrialization • Political Hypocrisy • United States • BRICS
JEL Codes: F13 • N10 • P16

1 Introduction – The Age of Contradictions

The twenty-first century began with a paradox: never before had the world been so connected, and never before had politicians spoken out so loudly against that very connection. The same air-freighted economy that delivers quick profits to New York, London, and Shanghai has also ushered in an era of walls, tariffs, and slogans promising retreat. What we call ***anti-globalism*** is, in reality, the marketing arm of global capitalism—a show that conceals reliance beneath the language of independence.

At the close of the ***Cold War, liberal democracies*** promised that free markets would unify humanity. Instead, they unified capital and divided societies. Supply chains stretched from Ohio to Guangdong; information systems from Palo Alto to Bangalore; finance from Wall Street to Singapore. Yet within the very nations that designed this architecture, citizens were told outsiders had betrayed them. Globalization became both the engine of prosperity and the scapegoat for insecurity.

In the United States, this contradiction has defined every administration since **Nixon's opening to China in 1971**. The Right that once celebrated free trade now condemns it; the Left that once championed

labor solidarity now relies on the taxes of global tech monopolies. The working class—once the proud beneficiary of industrial capitalism—became its collateral damage, courted for votes but excluded from profit. ***De-industrialization*** created what Marx called ***surplus labor*** and what modern politics calls ***swing voters*****:** citizens displaced by automation, offshoring, and austerity, searching for meaning in slogans that promise national revival.

Those who denounce ***"globalists"*** live off global portfolios. Executives, hedge-fund managers, and populist politicians alike draw wealth from a single transnational balance sheet. ***Multibillion-dollar corporations sponsor nationalist campaigns*** while relocating their headquarters to ***Dublin or Singapore*** to gain tax advantages. The system feeds on contradiction; moral outrage is simply another export.

The ***Age of Contradictions*** is therefore not a political period but an economic condition—the stage on which both ***Left and Right perform the same act:*** defending their share of a world they claim to oppose. This volume examines that dissonance—how conservative neoliberalism and liberal interventionism became two dialects of the same empire, and how their shared hypocrisy built the global order that now pretends to reject itself.

1.1 Context and Scope of Study

This study traces the political economy of globalization from 1971 to 2025, beginning with ***Nixon's termination of the gold standard and diplomatic*** opening to China, which together inaugurated the era of offshoring and credit finance. It then follows the ***trans-Atlantic consolidation of neoliberalism under Ronald Reagan and Margaret Thatcher***, whose coordinated deregulation and anti-union programs transformed free-market ideology into a governing creed. The analysis proceeds to ***Bill Clinton's "completion of the system"—***the decade in which **NAFTA, WTO** membership, and financial deregulation institutionalized global capitalism as policy rather than experiment. Finally, it examines the ***post-2008 reaction***, when populist figures such as ***Donald Trump repackaged globalization's discontents as nationalist revolt*** while preserving its underlying structures.

Each section employs case studies—***auto manufacturing, semiconductors, energy, digital technology, and finance***—to show how industries once rooted in domestic production evolved into borderless networks. **Quantitative tables** drawn from **BLS, World Bank, and OECD datasets** illustrate the twin rise in capital mobility and the decline in labor security. The concluding chapters evaluate the emergence of the ***BRICS***

bloc as the mirror image of Western neoliberalism: a coalition that replicates the same profit logic under different flags. The goal is not to defend or ***condemn globalization*** but to expose the systemic hypocrisy that allows its architects to disown their own creation.

2. Nixon's China Gamble – The Birth of Offshoring

2.1 Strategic Realignment and the Cold-War Logic

When ***President Richard Nixon and National Security Advisor Henry Kissinger*** visited **Beijing in 1972**, the public explanation was diplomatic—a daring attempt to divide the communist bloc and end decades of hostility between ***Washington and Beijing***. Privately, it was also an economic bet. If China could be drawn into the ***Western-led trade system***, the ***United States could isolate the Soviet Union*** and, in time, gain access to the world's most significant untapped labor force. In Nixon's words, **"We open the world … and we open the future of capitalism."** The handshake with Mao Zedong, therefore, joined geopolitics with profit: it weaponized trade as a tool of foreign policy.

2.2 The Monetary Earthquake: From Gold to Credit

Just months earlier, in August 1971, Nixon had closed the **"gold window,"** ending the dollar's convertibility into gold and collapsing the ***Bretton Woods system***. The move—known as the ***Nixon Shock*—**freed the United States from the limit of gold reserves and allowed it to print dollars against future debt. But a fiat-money system needs global demand for its currency; otherwise, inflation undermines confidence. The solution was structural: link the dollar to trade and oil. By 1974, Washington struck a deal with Saudi Arabia to price petroleum solely in dollars, creating the **petrodollar**. At the same time, opening China established a second demand mechanism—**the "labor dollar."** Asian exporters earned dollars by selling goods to U.S. consumers and reinvested their surpluses into U.S. Treasury bonds. These loops—oil in the Middle East and manufacturing in East Asia—formed the financial circulatory system of modern globalization.

2.3 Corporate Motives and the Flight from Labor Conflict

The domestic industry in the ***early 1970s faced inflation, militant unions, and new environmental rules***. Corporations such as **General Electric, RCA, and Caterpillar** sought an escape from what they called **"the wage trap."** China's controlled workforce and absence of Western labor law offered that escape. Although ***Beijing***

was still officially Marxist, it needed Western technology and capital to rebuild after the Cultural Revolution. Both sides traded ideology for advantage. By 1979, when diplomatic normalization was complete, U.S. multinationals were routing procurement through Hong Kong and Taiwan into mainland factories. The phrase ***"Made in China"*** began as a geopolitical experiment but quickly became an accounting strategy.

2.4 De-industrialization as Design, Not Accident

The economic results were immediate. U.S. manufacturing employment peaked in ***1979 at 19.5 million workers*** and has declined almost continuously since. Productivity rose, but the gains accrued to shareholders, not wages. As production migrated, the industrial Midwest entered its long decline; communities that had voted Democratic for generations turned Republican in search of recognition. The **Reagan Democrats** of the 1980s were the political heirs of Nixon's decision: workers displaced by an international system their own nation had engineered.

2.5 The Irony of Tariffs and the Automation Trap

Half a century later, when **Donald Trump** imposed tariffs on Chinese imports, he was trying to reverse the road Nixon paved. But the factory jobs he promised to **"bring back"** no longer exist in the same form. Global supply chains are automated, vertically integrated, and denominated in dollars; tariffs raise prices without restoring payrolls. The sound of protectionism masks a silent continuity: Republican populism defends the working class rhetorically while preserving the corporate freedoms that displaced it. What began as a Cold War maneuver became a permanent domestic contradiction.

2.6 From Bretton Woods to the BRICS World

Nixon's opening also planted the seed of the multipolar economy now embodied in the **BRICS bloc**—***Brazil, Russia, India, China, and South Africa***. By engaging China to weaken Moscow, Washington unintentionally midwifed a coalition of states able to rival Western financial institutions. Today, China holds more than ***$1 trillion in U.S. Treasuries***, and ***BRICS economies account for nearly a quarter of world exports***. The post-1971 system—dollar credit backed by offshore labor—became both America's strength and its dependency.

2.7 Legacy and Contemporary Relevance

Every modern debate over trade deficits, supply-chain security, and "decoupling" traces back to Nixon's dual revolution: the **detachment of money from metal and of production from place**. A generation later, Americans live inside the architecture he built—a consumer empire sustained by credit, imported goods, and permanent strategic anxiety. What began as an effort to divide communism ended by dividing capitalism itself: profits global, workers local; winners borderless, losers patriotic.

Appendix A – Empirical Data Supporting "Nixon's China Gamble – The Birth of Offshoring"

Table A-1 U.S. Manufacturing Employment 1950 – 2020

Year	Employment (Millions)	Share of Non-Farm Jobs	Key Context
1950	15.0	32 %	Post-war boom; Korean War demand
1960	16.8	30 %	Peak union density (~34 %)
1970	17.9	26 %	U.S. trade surplus ≈ $2 billion
1979	19.5	25 %	High-water mark before China normalization
1990	17.7	17 %	Pre-NAFTA transition
2000	17.3	13 %	China PNTR and dot-com boom
2010	11.5	9 %	Post-crisis trough
2020	12.3	8 %	COVID-19 disruptions

3. Reagan, Thatcher, and the Religion of Markets

3.1 Ideological Blueprint

Reagan's America and ***Thatcher's Britain were laboratories*** for a single experiment: can nationalism and deregulation coexist? Both leaders preached patriotic renewal while dismantling the social

contracts that had defined mid-century industrial democracy. Guided by Chicago-School economists such as Milton Friedman and Friedrich Hayek, they converted “free enterprise” from a policy into a creed.

3.2 Economic Deregulation

Reagan’s 1981 ***Economic Recovery Tax Act and 1986 Tax Reform Act cut*** corporate rates and weakened progressive taxation. Thatcher’s ***“Big Bang”*** financial deregulation of 1986 opened London to foreign banks and speculative trading. Both replaced public oversight with market discipline, unleashing capital flight and short-termism that favored finance over industry.

3.3 Union Suppression and Labor Displacement

The **PATCO strike (1981) and the British miners’ strike (1984-85**) were decisive shows of force: organized labor lost and never recovered. Real wages stagnated even as productivity rose. Corporations, freed from union bargaining, pursued flexible labor through automation and outsourcing.

3.4 Privatization and Corporate Mobility

Between 1980 and 1990, the U.K. privatized British Telecom, Gas, Airways, and Steel; the U.S. deregulated airlines, trucking, and telecommunications. Private ownership opened domestic monopolies to international investors, effectively globalizing national assets under patriotic branding.

3.5 Immigration and Labor Policy Paradox

While both governments spoke of border control, they quietly expanded labor inflows. Reagan’s 1986 Immigration Reform and Control Act legalized ≈ 3 million undocumented workers, supplying agribusiness with cheap labor. Thatcher’s U.K. relied on Commonwealth and later EU migrants to staff low-wage sectors. Nationalist rhetoric masked structural dependence on foreign labor.

3.6 Cuban and Latin American Case Studies

Reagan’s anti-communist policies created selective amnesties. Hundreds of thousands of Cubans and Nicaraguans entered the U.S. under refugee programs while border militarization targeted Mexicans. At the same time, the **Caribbean Basin Initiative (1983)** and the expansion of **maquiladora** zones in northern Mexico allowed

U.S. firms to assemble goods tariff-free. By 1989, Mexico hosted ≈ 1,700 plants employing 400,000 workers—Reagan's quiet globalism disguised as regional security.

3.7 "Global Britain" and the City of London

Thatcher's deregulation transformed the City into a global finance hub. Foreign bank assets in London tripled between 1980 and 1990. While her speeches decried European bureaucracy, her reforms tethered Britain's prosperity to global capital markets, making it one of the most financially exposed economies in the world.

3.8 Media Narratives and Scapegoating

The economic pain of de-industrialization was reframed as a cultural failure. American talk radio and British tabloids blamed immigrants and welfare recipients. Structural unemployment became moral weakness—a narrative still echoed by populists today.

3.9 Economic Outcomes

By 1990, U.S. manufacturing employment had fallen 10 %, while financial profits doubled as a share of GDP. Britain's manufacturing share collapsed from 30% to 17%. Productivity gains accrued to capital; wage growth stalled. The result was polarized societies cloaked in patriotic confidence.

3.10 Legacy and Continuity

Reagan and Thatcher established the template later completed by Clinton and Blair: austerity for labor, liquidity for capital, nationalism for voters, and globalization for investors. They proved that an economy can be both nationalist in language and borderless in operation—a paradox that defines the modern Right.

4. Clinton and the Completion of the System

4.1 Fiscal and Monetary Continuity

Clinton's 1993 Omnibus Budget prioritized deficit reduction over social investment. Treasury Secretary Robert Rubin's **"strong dollar"** policy kept interest rates high to attract foreign capital, while the Federal Reserve under Alan Greenspan maintained easy credit for asset markets. Fiscal conservatism combined with monetary expansion—a Democratic version of Reaganomics.

4.2 NAFTA (1994): Free Trade as Faith

The North American Free Trade Agreement removed tariffs between the U.S., Canada, and Mexico. Predicted to create 200,000 U.S. jobs, it instead displaced nearly 900,000 manufacturing positions (EPI, 2003).

- *Auto Industry:* GM and Ford closed 24 U.S. plants (1994–2002) while expanding operations in Mexican maquiladoras.
- *Textiles:* Greensboro, NC, lost ≈ 60 % of jobs as production moved to Ontario and Monterrey.
- *Agriculture:* Cheap Iowa corn exports devastated ≈ 2 million Mexican farmers, fueling migration northward.
  NAFTA's invisible effect was political: it fused Wall Street and Silicon Valley interests while hollowing out the industrial heartland.

4.3 WTO and China PNTR

Clinton's backing of the **World Trade Organization (1995)** and **Permanent Normal Trade Relations with China (2000)** globalized supply chains. Electronics giants—Intel, Motorola, IBM—shifted production to **Taiwan, Singapore, and Shenzhen.** By 1999 the U.S. ran a $20 billion deficit in advanced-tech goods. Semiconductor fabrication migrated to TSMC and Samsung; America kept design, lost production.

4.4 Financial Deregulation

The **Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act (1999)** repealed Glass-Steagall, enabling megabanks such as Citigroup. The **Commodity Futures Modernization Act (2000)** exempted derivatives from regulation, sowing the seeds of the 2008 crash. Democrats, not Republicans, delivered the final dismantling of New Deal safeguards.

4.5 The War on Drugs and the Carceral Economy

Clinton's 1994 Crime Bill expanded prisons and policing, continuing Reagan's punitive domestic policy. By 2000 the U.S. incarcerated ≈ 2 million people, half for non-violent offenses, converting social failure into a profitable correctional industry.

4.6 Apparent Prosperity, Hidden Stagnation

GDP grew ≈ 4 % annually and unemployment dropped below 4 %, yet real median income rose only 9 %. The boom rested on debt and asset inflation, not productivity. Regional inequality widened—Silicon Valley soared; Detroit collapsed. The “New Economy” replaced labor with leverage.

4.7 Fiscal Surplus and Social Deficit

The celebrated late-1990s budget surplus was driven by reduced public investment. Infrastructure spending fell from 2.6% to 1.9% of GDP. Peace dividends became bondholder dividends.

4.8 Clinton’s Global Hypocrisy

The administration that promised to “put people first” placed markets first. Corporate donors—Goldman Sachs, Citigroup, Microsoft—benefited; displaced workers and indebted students paid. Reagan’s revolution had found its bipartisan halo.